Rialtas na hÉireann
Government of Ireland

Screening for Appropriate Assessment

Adoption of Invasive Alien Species control within Glengarriff Harbour & Woodland SAC in accordance with Regulation 42A EC (Birds and Natural) Habitats Regulations 2011-2021

Prepared by the Department of Housing, Local Government and Heritage
npws.ie

Contents

1. Introduction 3

2. Background 4

3. Legislation and Guidance 5

3.1. Guidance and Data Sources 5

3.2. Assessment Methodology 6

3.3. Legislative Background 6

4. Overview of the Project 9

5. Purpose 10

6. Project Description 12

7. Part 1: Necessary conservation measures 14

8. Part 2: Identification of European Sites within the Potential Zone of Influence of proposed activities 20

9. Part 3: Assess the likelihood of significant effects 20

10. Part 4: In Combination Effects 21

11. Part 5: Findings of the Screening for AA 22

12. Part 6: Conclusion 22

13. Supplementary Information 23

1. Introduction

This determination on Screening for Appropriate Assessment (AA) has been made by the Ecological Assessment Unit (EAU) of the Department of Housing, Local Government and Heritage (DHLGH) in accordance with Regulation 42A(8) of the European Communities (Birds and Natural Habitats) Regulations 2011-2021 ('the 2011 Regulations").[1]

On the 26th March 2025, in accordance with the requirements of Regulation 42A(3) of the 2011-2021 Regulations, the Minister for Housing, Local Government and Heritage ('the Minister') furnished the EAU with a request for a Screening for Appropriate Assessment (AA) determination for Invasive Alien Species (IAS) - *Rhododendron ponticum* and Chilean Myrtle *Luma apiculata* clearance within Glengarriff Harbour & Woodland SAC [Site code: 000090], Co. Cork. Hereafter referred to as "the project".

On 28th April 2025, The EAU was furnished with a suite of literature and correspondence from the Scientific Advice and Research Directorate of NPWS pertaining to the recently discovered coalition that exists between IAS – *R. ponticum* and a number of rare or threatened bryophytes (including Annex II species – Killarney Fern (*Vandenboschia speciose*) in Atlantic Oak Woods in the west of Ireland.

The EAU have evaluated and analysed the information contained in the referred to above document and location maps provided and carried out a determination. The purpose of this document is to provide a record and an audit trail of the EAU reasoned thinking in view of best scientific knowledge and the Conservation Objectives of the European Sites.

[1] As inserted by Regulation 7 of the European Union (Birds and Natural Habitats) (Amendment).

2. Background

Pursuant to Regulation 42A(1) of the European Communities (Birds and Natural Habitats) Regulations, 2011 (S.I. 477 of 2011), a Screening for AA is required to be carried out on the project. AA is a process required under Article 6(3) of the EU Habitats Directive. Article 6(3) is transposed in Ireland by the European Communities (Birds and Natural Habitats) Regulations 2011 (S.I. No. 477 of 2011), as amended, and by Part XAB of the Planning and Development Act, 2000, as amended.

All plans and projects which are not directly connected with or necessary to the management of a European Site, but which either individually or in combination with other plans or projects, are likely to have a significant effect on a “European Site”, require an AA of these effects to determine if they will adversely affect the integrity of these sites. The proposed Rhododendron clearance is considered to fall under the requirements of AA as applied to all plans and projects.

The Screening for AA process scrutinises the plan or project to determine if there is potential for likely significant effects either individually or in combination with other plans or projects, on a European Site. European Sites are part of the Natura 2000 network and include those designated as Special Areas of Conservation (SAC), Candidate SACs (cSACs) or Special Protection Areas (SPA). This Screening for Appropriate Assessment describes the outcome of this analysis in respect of the project.

3. Legislation and Guidance

This section provides details on the adopted methodology with sources of guidance and information gathered to inform the preparation of the report.

3.1. Guidance and Data Sources

- AA of Plans and Projects in Ireland - Guidance for Planning Authorities (Department of Environment, Heritage and Local Government, 2010 revision);
- AA under Article 6 of the Habitats Directive; Guidance for Planning Authorities. Circular NPW 1/10 and PSSP 2/10;
- Assessment of Plans and Projects Significantly Affecting Natura 2000 Sites: Methodical Guidance on the Provisions of Article 6(3) and (4) of the Habitats Directive 92/43/EEC (European Commission Environment Directorate-General, 2021);
- Communication from the Commission on the precautionary principle. European Commission (2000);
- Guidance Document on Article 6(4) of the Habitats Directive 92/43/EEC (European Commission, 2007);
- Guidelines for Good Practice AA of Plans under Article 6(3) Habitats Directive (International Workshop on Assessment of Plans under the Habitats Directive, 2011);
- Managing Natura 2000 Sites: The Provision of Article 6 of the Habitats Directive 92/43/EEC (European Commission Environment Directorate-General, 2018); [hereafter referred to as MN 2018]; and
- Office of the Planning Regulator Practice Note PN01 - AA Screening for Development Management (OPR, 2021).
- Monaco, A., Genovesi, P. (2014) European Guidelines on Protected Areas and Invasive Alien Species, Council of Europe.
- Higgins, G.T. (2008) Rhododendron ponticum: A guide to management on nature conservation sites. Irish Wildlife Manuals, No. 33. National Parks and Wildlife Service, Department of the Environment, Heritage and Local Government, Dublin, Ireland; and,

3.2. Assessment Methodology

This report is based on review of desktop data. Sources of information utilised for this report and accessed during April 2025 include the following:

- National Parks & Wildlife Service (NPWS) Designations Viewer[2]; and,
- National Biodiversity Data Centre (NBDC) Maps[3].

3.3. Legislative Background

According to the EU Habitats Directive (92/43/EEC) and the EU Birds Directive (79/409/EEC), Member States are required to establish a Natura 2000 network of sites of highest biodiversity importance for rare and threatened habitats and species across the EU.

In Ireland, the Natura 2000 network of European sites comprises SACs, candidate SACs and SPAs.

SACs are selected for the conservation of Annex I habitats (including priority types which are in danger of disappearance) and Annex II species (other than birds). SPAs are selected for the conservation of Annex I birds and all migratory birds and their habitats. The Annex habitats and species, for which each site is selected, are the qualifying interests (QI) for SACs and special conservation interests (SCI) for SPAs of each site. Conservation objectives for the site are defined for these qualifying interests.

A key requirement of the Habitats Directive is that the effects of any plan or project, which is not directly connected with or necessary to the management of a European Site, but which alone, or in combination with, other plans or projects, are likely to have a significant effect on a European Site, should be assessed before any decision is made to allow that plan or project to proceed. The obligation to undertake a screening for AA, and if necessary, an AA, derives from Article 6(3) of the Habitats Directive and both involve a number of steps and tests that need to be applied in sequential order.

Article 6(3) is concerned with the strict protection of sites, while Article 6(4) is the procedure for allowing derogation from this strict protection in certain restricted circumstances.

[2] National Parks & Wildlife Service Designation Viewer. Accessed at ArcGIS Web Application 28/04/2025

[3] National Biodiversity Data Centre Maps. Accessed at Maps - Biodiversity Maps (biodiversityireland.ie) 28/04/2025

Article 6(3) of the Habitats Directive states:

> "Any plan or project not directly connected with, or necessary to, the management of the site but likely to have a significant effect thereon, either individually or in combination with other plans and projects, shall be subject to appropriate assessment of its implications for the site in view of the site's conservation objectives. In the light of the conclusions of the assessment of the implications for the site and subject to the provisions of paragraph 4, the competent national authorities shall agree to the plan or project only having ascertained that it will not adversely affect the integrity of the site concerned and if appropriate, after having obtained the opinion of the general public".

Article 6(4) states:

> "If, in spite of a negative assessment of the implications for the site and in the absence of alternative solutions, a plan or project must nevertheless be carried out for imperative reasons of overriding public interest, including those of social or economic nature, the Member State shall take all compensatory measures necessary to ensure that the overall coherence of Natura 2000 is protected. It shall inform the Commission of the compensatory measures adopted.
>
> Where the site concerned hosts a priority natural habitat type and/or a priority species, the only considerations which may be raised are those relating to human health or public safety, to beneficial consequences of primary importance for the environment or, further to an opinion from the Commission, to other imperative reasons of overriding public interest."

The competent authority is required to carry out a screening for AA, and if necessary, an AA as required by Article 6(3) of the Habitats Directive. If the competent authority determines that the plan or project will adversely affect the integrity of a European site, it may only authorise that plan or project by following the Article 6(4) procedure.

The Article 6(3) and 6(4) procedures are outlined as follows:

Stage 1 - Screening for Appropriate Assessment – to assess, in view of best scientific knowledge, if the project or plan, individually or in combination with another plan or project is likely to have a significant effect on the Natura 2000 site.

Stage 2 - Appropriate Assessment – This is required if it cannot be excluded, on the basis of objective information, that the project or plan, individually or in combination with other plans or projects, will have a significant effect on a Natura 2000 site. The AA must include a final determination by the competent authority as to whether or not a proposed project would adversely affect the integrity of a Natura 2000 site. In order to reach a final determination, the competent authority must undertake examination, analysis and evaluation, followed by findings, conclusions and a final determination. The appropriate assessment must contain complete, precise and definitive findings and conclusions, and may not have lacunae or gaps.

Stage 3 – Assessment of alternative solutions - the process which examines alternative ways of achieving the objectives of the project or plan that avoid adverse impacts on the integrity of the Natura 2000 site.

Stage 4 - Assessment where no alternative solutions exist and where adverse impacts remain - an assessment of compensatory measures where, in the light of an assessment of imperative reasons of overriding public interest (IROPI), it is deemed that the project or plan should proceed.

Under Regulation 42A(1) of the European Communities (Birds and Natural Habitats) Regulations, 2011 (S.I. 477 of 2011), the Ecological Assessment Unit is the competent authority in relation to screening for AA of projects and plans (such as the proposed activity) which the Minister proposes to undertake or adopt.

4. Overview of the Project

The Glengarriff Nature Reserve in Co. Cork, situated in the townlands of Glengarriff, Skehill, Esknamucky, Dromaclarig, Glengarriff, Cappyaughna, Coorannell, Derrynafulla and Dromdour was established under S.I. No. 172/1991 Nature Reserve (Glengarriff) Establishment Order, 1991, to be protected and properly managed in such a way as to ensure the conservation of the woodland ecosystem which it constitutes.

This site is part of land designated as Glengarriff Harbour and Woodland Special Area of Conservation consisting of a glacial valley opening out into a sheltered bay with rocky islets. The valley contains old oak woodland and alluvial forest. Glengarriff woodland consists of a sizeable area of broadleaved semi-natural woodland comprised of oak (Quercus sp.) and Holly (Ilex aquifolium), with much Downy Birch (Betula pubescens) and Rowan (Sorbus aucuparia). A little Yew (Taxus baccata) occurs and Strawberry Tree (Arbutus unedo) is scattered through the woods. The most frequent ground plants are Heather (Calluna vulgaris), Great Wood-rush (Luzula sylvatica), Bilberry (Vaccinium myrtillus) and the ferns Pteridium aquilinum, Blechnum spicant and Dryopteris aemula. Wet woodland occurs along parts of the Canrooska and Glengarriff rivers. This is dominated by willows (mainly Salix cinerea subsp. oleifolia) and Downy Birch, with Alder (Alnus glutinosa) also frequent.

A rich herb layer is found, characterised by such species as Bugle (Ajuga reptans), False Brome (Brachypodium sylvaticum), Meadowsweet (Filipendula ulmaria) and Wood Sanicle (Sanicula europaea). The rivers flood regularly, depositing silt within the woodlands. However, there is much small-scale variation in the habitat from heathy places with Heath Bedstraw (Galium saxatile), Star Sedge (Carex echinata) and Purple Moor-grass (Molinia caerulea), to rocks with Goldenrod (Solidago virgaurea), Navelwort (Umbilicus rupestris) or Filmy-fern (Hymenophyllum sp.). Common woodland herbs include Enchanter’s-nightshade (Circaea lutetiana), Irish Spurge (Euphorbia hyberna), Common Cow-wheat (Melampyrum pratense) and Foxglove (Digitalis purpurea).

Although this is the site of an ancient woodland, it was once part of an estate and much of the oak was planted around 1807-1810. Some exotic species were also introduced, such as Beech (Fagus sylvatica), Sycamore (Acer pseudoplatanus) and Rhododendron (Rhododendron ponticum). The latter has invaded parts of the woodland, posing a serious problem. However, it is being systematically removed. Other areas within the woodland have been planted with conifers including Sitka Spruce (Picea sitchensis), Scots Pine (Pinus sylvestris) and Western Hemlock (Tsuga heterophylla). In addition, Chilean Myrtle *(Luma apiculata)* has become established along the southern seaboard of Ireland and is present in the site. It’s

invasiveness has not yet been assessed by the National Biodiversity Data Centre[4], however it readily sets seed and has become established outside of its natural range in Britain and the US. The New Zealand Department of Conservation has identified the species as an 'emerging pest'.

Rhododendron eradication work has been ongoing in the Nature Reserve since it was acquired by the National Parks and Wildlife Service. Significant proportions of the old Oak Woodland have been cleared of Rhododendron and native woodland species are now naturally regenerating.

Despite the deleterious effects associated with the presence of *R. ponticum* on native habitats, particularly oak woodlands, a symbiosis has recently been discovered between the invasive species and a number of rare or threatened bryophytes, including Annex II species Killarney Fern (Vandenboschia speciose), which is a Qualifying Interest of the Glengarriff Harbour and Woodland SAC. The coalition exists due to the shade cast by *R. ponticum,* which is to the greatest extent recreating conditions similar to those which may be found in the dark, humid biotopes to which such species are accustomed[5].

5. Purpose

Rhododendron ponticum is widely recognised as one of the most problematic non-native invasive species currently threatening Irish biodiversity, particularly our native woodlands. By forming extensive, single species stands it effectively excludes native plant communities from their natural habitats. Increased awareness of its detrimental impacts, coupled with the discovery that it can act as a host for the plant pathogen *Phythopthera ramorum*, has recently lead to an urgent requirement for *R. ponticum* removal all across Ireland.

Native to South-west Europe and southwest Asia, *R. ponticum* is a large evergreen shrub with leathery leaves, purple to pink flowers and solid stems forming into a trunk when mature. It spreads by both suckers and seed. Each flower head can produce between three thousand and seven thousand small seeds which can remain viable in the soil for several years. Larger plants can produce several million seeds per year. The small seeds are dispersed up to 100 metres by wind and water under favourable open conditions.

Once *R. ponticum* has invaded an area, few native plants survive. In woodlands, only those trees which manage to grow above the level of the *R. ponticum* canopy

[4] National Biodiversity Data Centre, Ireland, Chilean Myrtle (Luma apiculata), accessed 28 April 2025, <https://maps.biodiversityireland.ie/Species/43070>

[5] Hodd, R., (2022) Dense Rhododendron as a Habitat for Rare and Threatened Bryophytes: Conservation and Management Implications. CIEEM In Practice. Issue 117. Sept 2022.

will persist. When such trees die, they cannot be replaced because seedlings cannot become established under the lightless canopy. At this point, the *R. ponticum* completely dominates the area and stands accumulate into thick dense patches.

Potentially toxic chemicals, particularly 'free' phenols, occur in significant quantities in the tissues of *R. ponticum*, such that foliage is unpalatable to vertebrates (therefore not naturally suppressed through grazing or browsing), and few insects feed on the plant. Another potentially major impact of *R. ponticum* is its effect on native tree species, notably Oak (*Quercus*) and nursery stock through the fungus-like pathogens *Phytophthora ramorum* and *P. kernoviae*, which are harboured by *R. ponticum*.

The importance of the threat of invasive alien species is reflected in a suite of international, European and national policy and legislation.

Habitats Directive (Article 6.2) obliges Member States to take appropriate steps to avoid the deterioration of natural habitats and the significant disturbance of the species for which a site has been designated. In this context 'taking appropriate steps' means for the Minister to adopt the necessary legal and/or contractual measures to make sure that the deterioration of the natural habitats for which the Glengarriff Harbour and Woodlands Special Area of Conservation has been designated is avoided.

In September 2011, comprehensive regulations which address deficiencies in Irish law implementing the EU Birds and Habitats Directives were signed into law. The European Communities (Birds and Natural Habitats) Regulations 2011 contain important new provisions to address the problem of invasive species. A black list of unwanted species is set out in the Regulations. It will be an offence without a licence, to release or allow to disperse or escape, to breed, propagate, import, transport, sell or advertise such species. Two regulations that deal specifically with these scheduled lists of species are:

Regulation 49: Prohibition on introduction and dispersal of certain species.

The Conference of the Parties to the Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD) at their tenth meeting set out a Strategic Plan for Biodiversity with 20 headline targets for 2020 organized under five strategic goals. The mission of the Strategic Plan is to —take effective and urgent action to halt the loss of biodiversity in order to ensure that by 2020 ecosystems are resilient and continue to provide essential services.

Target 9 states: By 2020, invasive alien species and pathways are identified and prioritized, priority species are controlled or eradicated, and measures are in place to manage pathways to prevent their introduction and establishment.

On September 29th, 2014, the European Council adopted a Regulation on the prevention and management of the introduction and spread of invasive alien species [1143/2014]. The Regulation, that is a binding legal tool for all Member States,

entered into force January 1st 2015. The Regulation lays down rules to prevent, minimise and mitigate the adverse impacts of the introduction and spread, both intentional and unintentional, of invasive alien species on biodiversity and the related ecosystem services, as well as other adverse impact on human health or the economy.

On 25th January 2024, Ireland's 4th National Biodiversity Action Plan (NBAP), for the period 2023-2030 was launched with 5 objectives. The plan aims to deliver the transformative changes required to the ways in which we value and protect nature. The 4th NBAP has been developed with the support, advice and input of the interdepartmental Biodiversity Working Group and the independent Biodiversity Forum. Objective 2 focuses on invasive species management.

> *Action 1D5 states that NPWS will continue to support Local Authorities biodiversity projects through the LBAF, which includes action on invasive alien species, throughout the lifetime of this Plan.*
>
> *Outcome 2H includes actions related to Invasive alien species (IAS) are controlled and managed on an all-island basis to reduce the harmful impact they have on biodiversity and measures are undertaken to tackle the introduction and spread of new IAS to the environment.*

6. Project Description

Treatment: The only herbicide to be used is glyphosate. The most appropriate herbicide currently available for *Rhododendron ponticum* control is *Roundup™ Biactive (≡ Roundup™ Probiactive)*. Stem and notch treatment will be the method used whereby a solution of glyphosate will be applied to the cut or broken stems of the plant. Please refer to "*Rhododendron ponticum*: A guide to management on nature conservation sites" Irish Wildlife Manuals, No. 33 for additional guidance.

- Removal of plants less than 20cm in height: Plants will be pulled manually ensuring all root stock is fully removed from the ground and all associated earth is fully shaken off;

- Removal of plants greater than 20cm in height: For plants with a stem diameter of less than 2cm the stem can be broken at the base ensuring that the stem is not fully severed and a 14% solution of glyphosate (6 parts water to 1-part glyphosate) can be manually applied to the broken area. For plants with stem diameter greater than 2cm, a notch will be cut with a hatchet from two sides of each stem and the solution of 14% herbicide manually applied;

- No Foliar Spraying: Foliar spraying is not permitted, ensuring no potential hydrological pathway exists during the course of Rhododendron clearance;

- Contractor Materials: Storage of materials and refilling of equipment for Rhododendron clearance will be off site and in a secure location. All materials used for the treatment of Rhododendron will be removed from the areas on completion of works; and,
- Retreatment and Regrowth: While it may take as long as up to 7 months for the herbicide to take full effect and for the plants to fully die, the treated areas will be inspected by NPWS staff and trained personnel to ensure correct methodologies are being applied by visual inspection of treated plants.
    - Where following visual inspection it is deemed that the Rhododendron plants in the area have not all been eradicated these will be effectively retreated within six months.
    - All cleared sites in an area where seed input is ongoing will require ongoing management so that re-infestation from seed is not allowed to succeed.
    - The project must regularly and systematically be followed-up as required to deal with re-growth and seedling germination within the defined management areas.
    - As long as an external seed source remains, repeated systematic sweeps through the site will be required, to prevent newly established plants from reaching flowering age and setting seed.

The Project Manager will liaise with Regional NPWS staff to ensure that surveys and up to date field data informs the roll out of the control project. If elements of the project are required to take place during the bird nesting season (1st March- 31st August inclusive). There is provision for, notwithstanding anything contained in Section 40 of the Wildlife Act 1976-2023 (prohibits the cutting, grubbing, burning or other destruction of vegetation growing on “any land not then cultivated” between 1st March and 31st August annually), the authorisation for the destruction of vegetation comprising the species of flora or vegetation that the Minister considers poses a threat to the objectives of the Birds Directive or the Habitats Directive under Regulation 49(13) of the European Communities (Birds and Natural Habitats) 2011 - 2021. The Project Manager and/or a NPWS Authorised Officer will ensure this work is undertaken under licence and that the project can progress (access, route and egress) in compliance with Section 22 and Section 23 of those Acts prior to works (where applicable).

The Project Manager will ensure best practice guidance on correct invasive and non-native species control procedures are adhered to. Work will be reviewed by the Project Manager and/or a NPWS Authorised Officer at regular intervals to ensure that correct procedures are being followed.

7. Part 1: Necessary conservation measures

Answer these questions if the activity is “directly connected with or necessary to the management of the site”. Otherwise go to Part 2.

a). List the European site(s) and the relevant Conservation Objectives (include version number of the Conservation Objective).

Glengarriff Harbour and Woodland SAC [Site code: 000090].

NPWS (2015) Conservation Objectives: Glengarriff Harbour and Woodland SAC 000090. Version 1. Accessed 28th April 2025.

Available at: https://www.npws.ie/sites/default/files/protected-sites/conservation_objectives/CO000090.pdf

Qualifying Interests

Old sessile oak woods with Ilex and Blechnum in the British Isles [91A0]

~ Overall assessment of conservation status: **Bad**

Alluvial forests with Alnus glutinosa and Fraxinus excelsior (Alno-Padion, Alnion incanae, Salicion albae) [91E0]

~ Overall assessment of conservation status: **Bad**

Geomalacus maculosus (Kerry Slug) [1024]

~ Overall assessment of conservation status: **Favourable**

Rhinolophus hipposideros (Lesser Horseshoe Bat) [1303]

~ Overall assessment of conservation status: **Inadequate**

Lutra lutra (Otter) [1355]

~ Overall assessment of conservation status: **Favourable**

Phoca vitulina (Harbour Seal) [1365]

~ Overall assessment of conservation status: **Favourable**

Conservation Objectives for Qualifying Interests.

Old sessile oak woods with Ilex and Blechnum in the British Isles [91A0]

To maintain the favourable conservation condition of Old sessile oak woods with Ilex and Blechnum in the British Isles in Glengarriff Harbour and Woodland SAC, which is defined by the following list of attributes:

- Habitat area.
- Habitat distribution.
- Woodland size.
- Woodland structure: cover and height; community diversity and extent; natural regeneration; dead wood; veteran trees; indicators of local distinctiveness.
- Vegetation composition: typical species; negative indicator species.

Alluvial forests with Alnus glutinosa and Fraxinus excelsior (Alno-Padion, Alnion incanae, Salicion albae) [91E0]

To maintain the favourable conservation condition of Alluvial forests with Alnus glutinosa and Fraxinus excelsior (Alno-Padion, Alnion incanae, Salicion albae) in Glengarriff Harbour and Woodland SAC, which is defined by the following list of attributes:

- Habitat area.
- Habitat distribution.
- Woodland size.
- Woodland structure: cover and height; community diversity and extent; natural regeneration, veteran trees; and, indicators of local significance.
- Hydrological regime: flooding depth/height of water table.
- Vegetation composition: native tree cover; typical species; and negative indicator species.

Geomalacus maculosus (Kerry Slug) [1024]

To maintain the favourable conservation condition of Kerry Slug in Glengarriff Harbour and Woodland SAC, which is defined by the following list of attributes:

- Distribution: occupied 1km grid squares.
- Habitat extent: woodland area.
- Habitat quality: woodland; and, non-native invasive species.

Rhinolophus hipposideros (Lesser Horseshoe Bat) [1303]

To maintain the favourable conservation condition of Lesser Horseshoe Bat in Glengarriff Harbour and Woodland SAC, which is defined by the following list of attributes:

- Population per roost.
- Winter roosts.
- Summer roosts.
- Auxillary roosts.
- Extent of potential foraging habitat.
- Linear features.
- Light pollution.

Otter Lutra lutra [1355]

To maintain the favourable conservation condition of Otter in Glengarriff Harbour and Woodland SAC, which is defined by the following list of attributes:

- Distribution.
- Extent of terrestrial habitat.
- Extent of marine habitat.
- Extent of freshwater (lake) habitat.
- Couching sites and holts.

- Fish biomass available.
- Barriers to connectivity.

Common Seal Phoca lutra [1355]

To maintain the favourable conservation condition of Otter in Glengarriff Harbour and Woodland SAC, which is defined by the following list of attributes:

- Access to suitable habitat.
- Breeding behaviour.
- Mounting behaviour.
- Resting behaviour.
- Disturbance.

b). State how this activity furthers the Conservation Objective(s)

Under Article 6 of the EU Habitats Directive, DHLGH is required to ensure the favourable conservation status of all Annex I habitats and Annex II species within SACs. Since Rhododendron is known to negatively alter habitat, the implementation of the removal measures within the site as outlined above will directly contribute to the Site Specific Conservation Objectives. Notably:

Old sessile oak woods with Ilex and Blechnum in the British Isles [91A0]

- Woodland structure: cover and height; community diversity and extent; natural regeneration; indicators of local distinctiveness.
- Vegetation composition: typical species; negative indicator species.

Alluvial forests with Alnus glutinosa and Fraxinus excelsior (Alno-Padion, Alnion incanae, Salicion albae) [91E0]

- Woodland structure: cover and height; community diversity and extent; natural regeneration; and, indicators of local significance.

- Vegetation composition: native tree cover; typical species; and negative indicator species.

Geomalacus maculosus (Kerry Slug) [1024]

- Habitat quality: woodland; and, non-native invasive species.

In the absence of the project, the Conservation Objectives for Glengarriff Harbour and Woodland SAC would not be achieved as there would be continued highly detrimental impacts of invasive Rhododendron, dramatically reducing levels of habitat cover and species richness.

Particular threats to Atlantic oak woodlands will increase, with encroachment and replacement of the understorey vegetation and rich lichen communities. In addition, there will be significant risk of exposure to the plant pathogen *Phythopthera ramorum*. The project will be beneficial to maintaining and working towards achieving favourable conservation condition of the woodland habitats in the site and the typical species of these protected habitat types.

c). Does this activity have the potential to impact negatively on any Conservation Objective (CO), Qualifying Interest (QI)/Special Conservation Interest (SCI) for this or any other European site?

The area proposed for IAS removal works contains or is directly adjacent to a population (three colonies) of the protected, Annex II species Killarney Fern (V*andenboschia speciosa*), a QI for the SAC, which has been reported as a newly established colony. The population comprises three colonies, one of which has young and juvenile sporophytes.

The species is very vulnerable to herbicides, physical damage, changes in hydrological conditions and to alterations in the humidity and shade levels. Therefore, extreme care must be taken when working in the area and specifically when targeting applications of the herbicide Glyphosate.

Precise details of the known Killarney Fern colonies at this location are known to NPWS staff and have been furnished as a part of this project application. This information will be appraised prior to works commencing, communicated to all staff or contractors and shall provide a reference throughout the course of works when needed. The colonies are currently not associated with *R. ponticum* stands and therefore its removal will not give rise to negative impacts on the Killarney Fern colonies, provided that works are well-informed and carried out sympathetically. There is not

considered to be any likelihood for negative impacts to Killarney Fern as a result of this project.

Yes ☐ No ☑

d). Are there aspects of this activity which are NOT directly connected with the management of the site?

Yes ☐ No ☑

If the answer to EITHER of the above questions is **Yes** a Screening for AA is required, go to Part 2.

If the answer to BOTH questions is **No** go to Part 5.

8. Part 2: Identification of European Sites within the Potential Zone of Influence of proposed activities

List all European sites which may be affected by this activity because they are linked by an ecological pathway. If the site has a second European designation (SAC, SPA) this also needs to be considered.

9. Part 3: Assess the likelihood of significant effects

Provide this information for **each site** listed in Part 2.

List the QI/SCIs for the site(s), their current conservation condition and the Site Specific Conservation Objectives (SSCO) for that QI/SCI. Then answer the questions about the impact on that QI/SCI.

Tick all the boxes below which may apply.

Your answer will determine if the activity is likely to have a (negative) effect on this QI/SCI. Negative effects may arise because of the activity's location within the site; its proximity to the QI/SCI or the size of the land take involved; the abstraction of water or minerals; emissions or waste arising; the types of transport or machinery being used; the length of time involved or seasonality of the work.

a). There is likely to be a reduction in the area of QI/SCI habitat ☐

b). The physical/chemical quality of the environment is likely to be changed in a way which may cause the deterioration of the QI/SCI habitat (e.g. applying lime to an acidic soil) ☐

c). The structure of the ecological community is likely to be altered (e.g. through altered species composition) in a way which will have negative impact on the QI/SCI. ☐

d). A QI/SCI species is likely to be disturbed (e.g. through noise, vibrations) ☐

e). The population size, characteristics or reproductive success of a QI/SCI species is likely to be changed either directly or indirectly (e.g. lighting close to a bat roost entrance) ☐

f). QI/SCI species or habitats are likely to be more vulnerable to change or less resilient to external change (e.g. to flooding, fire or drought) ☐

g). There are likely to be effects on other species /habitats (not a QI/SCI for this site) which are ecologically linked with this QI/SCI and, as a consequence, there are likely to be negative effects on this QI/SCI (e.g. the impacts on geese which are the SCI when their non-QI feeding areas are damaged). ☐

h). This activity will cause a deterioration in the conservation condition of this QI/SCI. ☐

i). There are likely to be other ecological effects not listed above which are likely to have a significant effect on the QI/SCI. ☐

Explain the effects:

_____

If you have ticked a box for any QI/SCI in any of the sites affected by this activity the likelihood of a significant effect cannot be ruled out and the activity MUST be screened IN for AA.

10. Part 4: In Combination Effects

Is this activity likely to cause negative effects in combination with any other plan or project?

(In answering this question you should consider any other consents which have been applied for or granted. For example, other ARCs, planning consents, forestry consents, or activities authorised as part of an agreed farm or land management plan. List the plans or projects considered).

_____

No ☑ **Yes** ☐ **Uncertain** ☐

If the answer is Yes or Uncertain the activity MUST be screened IN for AA. Fill in Part 5 accordingly.

11. Part 5: Findings of the Screening for AA

Screening not carried out as the activity is “directly connected with or necessary to the management of the site”. ☑

Likely significant effects are expected or uncertain.

This activity Screens **IN** and AA **is** required. ☐

Likely significant effects are NOT expected.

This activity Screens **OUT** AA **is not** required. ☐

Signature: Darren Ellis, Ecological Assessment Unit

Date: 28th April 2025

12. Part 6: Conclusion

Following an analysis of the activity, the EAU determines[6], in accordance with Regulation 42A(8) of the 2011 Regulations, that the *Rhododendron ponticum* control measures within Glengarriff Harbour and Woodland SAC are entirely comprised of activities that directly support the Conservation Objectives of this European site.

It can be concluded with certainty that the project is a necessary conservation measure that is directly connected with or necessary for the management of the site.

[6] Darren Ellis (Ecological Assessment Unit, Department of Housing, Local Government and Heritage) 28th April 2025

13. Supplementary Information

Figure 1. (below): Location of The Glengarriff Harbour and Woodland Special Area of Conservation. Works area indicated by blue arrow.



Figure 2. (below): Location of Rhododendron management areas within The Glengarriff Harbour and Woodland Special Area of Conservation indicated by blue arrow.



Figure 3. (Below) Area proposed for *Rhododendron ponticum* treatment with red points indicating *Vandenboschia speciosa* colonies within or adjacent to the area

npws.ie

Department of Housing, Local Government and Heritage

Rialtas na hÉireann
Government of Ireland