

Finance Working Group – Meeting 6 8<sup>th</sup> September 2021 10:00-11:30 Virtual Meeting

# **Attendees**

### Chairs:

Gerry Kiely, Chair TB Finance Working Group, Michael Cronin, Chair TB Stakeholder Forum;

## Farming Organisations:

Lorcan McCabe, ICMSA; Paul Smyth, ICMSA; Hugh Farrell, ICSA; Eddie Punch, ICSA; Pat Farrell, IFA; Tomás Bourke, IFA, Gillian Richardson, MACRA

## Department of Agriculture, Food and the Marine:

Colm Forde, Frances MacAodhain,

## Minutes

Ilinutes Illembers of the Finance Group were circulated with a discussion document ahead of the neeting which presented proposed funding models for the TB programme. DAFM stroduced the document emphasising its objective to assist the group in achieving one fits key goals under the terms of reference; to develop a sustainable funding model.  AFM stressed that the document is a first draft and the models proposed are presented as a starting point for discussions.  Arming body comments:  The document is welcome as a catalyst to more focussed debate.  Welcomed the flexibility presented in the discussion document by including a variety of models.
neeting which presented proposed funding models for the TB programme. DAFM stroduced the document emphasising its objective to assist the group in achieving one its key goals under the terms of reference; to develop a sustainable funding model. AFM stressed that the document is a first draft and the models proposed are presented as a starting point for discussions.  **The document is welcome as a catalyst to more focussed debate.*  **Welcomed the flexibility presented in the discussion document by including a
<ul> <li>The document is welcome as a catalyst to more focussed debate.</li> <li>Welcomed the flexibility presented in the discussion document by including a</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>A future financial model is closely linked to other issues under discussions such as the value on farm labour, what impact any increased testing might have and whether testing costs are as accurate as possible.</li> <li>Discussions on financing should be aligned with discussions from the IG.</li> <li>Would like to examine the breakdown of compensation by production type as well as the breakdown of levy receipts by production type.</li> <li>Will submit detailed comments.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>AFM comments: <ul> <li>Questioned the value of further examining issues such as farm labour and time spent testing if such work would not impact on the funding model.</li> <li>Stressed the need for policy to be simple where possible, including in relation to funding the programme.</li> </ul> </li> <li>bair comments: <ul> <li>Suggested the discussion document be amended to include a funding model based on compensation only, excluding wildlife and research.</li> <li>Urged farming organisations not to miss what might be the last opportunity to have an input into TB policy and how it's funded. In this context a clear position</li> </ul> </li> </ul>